arXiv:1608.05955v1 [math.CV] 21 Aug 2016

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE FOCK SPACE

LIANGYING JIANG, GABRIEL T. PRAJITURA, AND RUHAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We study composition operators on the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$, problems considered include the essential norm, normality, spectra, cyclicity and membership in the Schatten classes. We give perfect answers for these basic properties , which present lots of different characterizations with the composition operators on the Hardy space or the weighted Bergman spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be the points in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the inner product is

$$\langle z, w\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \overline{w_j}$$

and $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z\rangle}$.

For any $\alpha > 0$, consider the Gaussian probability measure

$$dv_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n e^{-\alpha|z|^2} dv(z)$$

on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where dv is the Lebesgue volume measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ consists of all holomorphic functions f on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\|f\|^2_\alpha \equiv \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 dv_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a Hilbert space with the following inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)} dv_\alpha(z).$$

Its reproducing kernels are given by

$$K(z, w) = K_w(z) = e^{\alpha\langle z,w\rangle}$$

with $\|K_w\|^2_\alpha = \exp(\alpha|z|^2)$.

For a given holomorphic mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, the composition operator C_φ on the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is defined by $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$.

In 2003, Carswell et al. [5] first studied composition operators on the classical Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ when $\alpha = 1/2$, usually denoted by $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. They found the following information.

Date: 21 August 2016.

This is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11571256 and No.11601400).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B33; Secondary 32A35.

Key words and phrases. Composition operators, Fock space, essential norm, spectrum, normal, cyclic, Schatten class.

Theorem A. *Suppose $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic mapping.*

(a) *C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if $\varphi(z) = Az + B$, where A is an $n \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times 1$ vector. Furthermore, $\|A\| \leq 1$, and $\langle A\zeta, B\rangle = 0$ if $|A\zeta| = |\zeta|$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

(b) *C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if $\varphi(z) = Az + B$, where $\|A\| < 1$.*

From this, we know that only a class of linear mappings of $\mathbb{C}^n$ can induce bounded composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. In fact, this is the same on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for any $\alpha > 0$. So, it is natural to look forward that more properties of bounded composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ could be completely characterized.

In recent years, the study of composition operators and weighted composition operators on Fock spaces has attracted a lot of attention ([6], [7], [19], [21], [24]). However, some basic problems about composition operators on the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are still open. Only in the setting of complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, Guo and Izuchi [12] described some properties of composition operators on Fock type spaces, including spectra, cyclicity and connected components of the set of composition operators.

In this work, we try to investigate the basic operator properties of composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and find that some behaviors are so distinctive. For simplicity, we will discuss our results on the classical Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. But all results hold on Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Let φ be a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^n$, which induces a bounded composition operator C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. First, we will calculate the essential norm $\|C_\varphi\|_e$ in Section 2. When C_φ is not compact, we find that $\|C_\varphi\|_e = \|C_\varphi\|$. In this section, we also discuss the membership in the Schatten classes and obtain that all compact composition operators belong to the Schatten p-class S_p for all $0 < p < \infty$. Section 3 is devoted to describe the normality of C_φ. It is interesting that when C_φ is hypernormal on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then C_φ must be normal. Moreover, there are no non-trivial essentially normal composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. All above results are different to that when C_φ acting on other classical function spaces, such as the Hardy space and the weighted Bergman spaces.

In Section 4, we completely give the spectrum of C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, that is,

$$\sigma(C_\varphi) = \overline{\{\lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{\gamma_n} : (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}},$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix A (which described in Theorem A). Finally, the cyclicity of composition operators will be studied in Section 5. We observe that all bounded composition operators are not supercyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and give a necessary and sufficient condition for C_φ to be cyclic when φ is unitary. Thus, it remains that, whether C_φ is cyclic is unknown if φ is univalent, not unitary.

2. Essential norm and Schatten class

When C_φ is bounded on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, by Theorem A, we have $\varphi(z) = Az + B$. The norm of C_φ has been obtained by Carswell et al. [5] as the following:

$$\|C_\varphi\| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(|z_0|^2 - |Az_0|^2 + |B|^2)\right),$$

where z_0 is any solution to $(I - A^*A)z = A^*B$.

In this section, we first determine the essential norm of C_φ, which defined as:

$$\|C_\varphi\|_e = \|C_\varphi^*\|_e = \inf\{\|C_\varphi^* - F\| : F \text{ is compact on } \mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ and C_φ be bounded, not compact, on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then the essential norm of C_φ is*

$$\|C_\varphi\|_e = \|C_\varphi\| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\langle\varphi(z_0), B\rangle\right),$$

where z_0 is any solution to $(I - A^*A)z = A^*B$.

Proof. Since C_φ is not compact, we get that $\|A\| = 1$ from Theorem A. Let $A = V\Sigma W$ be the singular value decomposition of A, where V, W are unitary and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$ being the singular values of A. Set $j = \max\{r : \sigma_r = 1\}$. It is clear that $j \geq 1$ from $\sigma_1 = \|A\| = 1$.

Let $\psi(z) = \Sigma z + B'$ be the normalization of φ (see Proposition 1 in [5]), that is, $\varphi = V \circ \psi \circ W$, where $B' = V^*B$. Denote C_W and C_V the unitary operators respectively given by $C_W(f) = f \circ W$ and $C_V(f) = f \circ V$. This means $C_\varphi = C_W C_\psi C_V$. Using Corollary 1 of [5], we get that $\|C_\varphi\| = \|C_\psi\|$ and $\|C_\varphi\|_e = \|C_\psi\|_e$. So it suffices to calculate the essential norm of C_ψ.

Let K_w be the reproducing kernels for $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The proof of Lemma 3 in [5] together with (11) of [5] gives

$$\|C_\psi\| = \|C_\psi^*\| = \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{\|C_\psi^*(K_w)\|}{\|K_w\|} = \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{\|K_{\psi(w)}\|}{\|K_w\|},$$

and $\|K_{\psi(w)}\|/\|K_w\|$ attains its maximum at points $w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ which satisfy, for $j + 1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$|w_m| = \frac{\sigma_m |b'_m|}{1 - \sigma_m^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \arg w_m \text{ chosen so that } w_m b'_m \geq 0,$$

and arbitrary w_m for $m \leq j$, where b'_m is the m^{th} coordinate of B'.

On the other hand, the normalized reproducing kernel functions $k_w = K_w/\|K_w\|$ tend to 0 weakly on $\mathcal{F}^2$ as $|w| \to \infty$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\psi\|_e &= \|C_\psi^*\|_e \geq \limsup_{|w|\to\infty} \frac{\|C_\psi^*(K_w)\|}{\|K_w\|} \\
&\geq \limsup_{\substack{|w'|\to\infty \\ w'=(w_1,\ldots,w_j)}} \left\{ \frac{\|C_\psi^*(K_w)\|}{\|K_w\|} : |w_m| = \frac{\sigma_m|b'_m|}{1-\sigma_m^2} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \text{and arg } w_m \text{ chosen so that } w_m b'_m \geq 0 \text{ for } j+1 \leq m \leq n \right\} \\
&= \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{\|C_\psi^*(K_w)\|}{\|K_w\|} = \|C_\psi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

However, we know that $\|C_\psi\|_e \leq \|C_\psi\|$. This together with the above discussion gives

$$\|C_\psi\|_e = \|C_\psi\| = \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{\|C_\psi^*(K_w)\|}{\|K_w\|}.$$

Therefore, $\|C_\varphi\|_e = \|C_\psi\|_e = \|C_\psi\| = \|C_\varphi\|$.

Now, Theorem 4 of [5] gives that

$$\|C_\varphi\| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(|z_0|^2 - |Az_0|^2 + |B|^2)\right),$$

where z_0 is any solution to $(I - A^*A)z = A^*B$. In fact, $(I - A^*A)z_0 = A^*B$ yields that

$$|z_0|^2 - |Az_0|^2 = \langle z_0, (I - A^*A)z_0\rangle = \langle z_0, A^*B\rangle = \langle Az_0, B\rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi\|_e &= \|C_\varphi\| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(|z_0|^2 - |Az_0|^2 + |B|^2)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(\langle Az_0, B\rangle + |B|^2)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\langle\varphi(z_0), B\rangle\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we will discuss Schatten class composition operators on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Recall that if T is a compact operator on a separable Hilbert space H, then there exist orthonormal sets $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ in H such that

$$Tx = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n\rangle \sigma_n, \qquad x \in H,$$

where λ_n is the singular value of T, i.e. it is the eigenvalue of $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$.

Given $0 < p < \infty$, if the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ belongs to l^p, we say that T belongs to the Schatten p-class of H, denoted $S_p(H)$ or S_p, and define the norm

$$\|T\|_p = \left[\sum_n |\lambda_n|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

Usually, S_1 is also called the trace class and S_2 is called the Hilbert-Schmidt class.

It is well known that if T is compact on H, then $T \in S_p$ if and only if $T^*T \in S_p$ with $\|T\|_p^p = \|T^*T\|_{\frac{p}{2}}$. We refer to [25] for more information about the Schatten classes.

For a Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we define the Toeplitz operator T_μ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as follows:

$$T_\mu(f)(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)K(z,w)e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2}} d\mu(w).$$

The Berezin transform of μ is defined:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mu}(z) &= \langle T_\mu k_z, k_z\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z(w)|^2 e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2}} d\mu(w)\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z-w|^2} d\mu(w),\end{aligned}$$

where $k_z(w) = K(w,z)/\sqrt{K(z,z)} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle w,z\rangle - \frac{1}{4}|z|^2}$ are the normalized reproducing kernels of $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then C_φ belongs to the Schatten p-class S_p for all $0 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. First, for a function f in $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\varphi^* C_\varphi f(z) &= \langle C_\varphi^* C_\varphi f, K_z\rangle = \langle C_\varphi f, C_\varphi K_z\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(\varphi(w)) K_z(\varphi(w)) e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2}} dv(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(\varphi(w)) K_z(\varphi(w)) e^{-\frac{|\varphi(w)|^2}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(|\varphi(w)|^2-|w|^2)} dv(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(u) K_z(u) e^{-\frac{|u|^2}{2}} d\mu(u) = T_\mu(f)(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu = d\nu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ with $d\nu(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(|\varphi(z)|^2-|z|^2)} dv(z)$.

Thus, for $0 < p < \infty$, the composition operator C_φ belongs to the Schatten p-class S_p if and only if $T_\mu = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi$ belongs to $S_{p/2}$. By Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.2 in [13], it is equivalent to that $\widetilde{\mu}(z)$ is in $L^{p/2}(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$.

Now, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widetilde{\mu}(z)^{p/2} dv(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z-w|^2} d\mu(w) \right)^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z-\varphi(u)|^2} e^{\frac{1}{2}(|\varphi(u)|^2-|u|^2)} dv(u) \right)^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{p}{4}|z|^2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{Re\langle \varphi(u), z\rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2} dv(u) \right)^{p/2} dv(z). \end{aligned}$$

If C_φ is compact, then $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ with $\|A\| < 1$ from Theorem A. On the other hand, Lemma 3 in [9] gives that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{s\langle z,a\rangle}| dv_\alpha(z) = e^{s^2|a|^2/4\alpha} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where s is real. Therefore, using the formula (2.1) twice,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widetilde{\mu}(z)^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{p}{4}|z|^2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{Re\langle Au+B, z\rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2} dv(u) \right)^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\frac{p}{2}\langle z,B\rangle}| e^{-\frac{p}{4}|z|^2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\langle u, A^*z\rangle}| e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2} dv(u) \right)^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\frac{p}{2}\langle z,B\rangle}| e^{-\frac{p}{4}|z|^2} (e^{|A^*z|^2/2})^{p/2} dv(z) \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\frac{p}{2}\langle z,B\rangle}| e^{-\frac{p}{4}|z|^2} e^{\frac{p}{4}\|A^*\|^2|z|^2} dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\frac{p}{2}\langle z,B\rangle}| e^{-\frac{p}{4}(1-\|A\|^2)|z|^2} dv(z) \\ &\le C e^{\frac{p|B|^2}{1-\|A\|}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on $\|A\|$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mu}(z) \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{C}^n, dv)$ and C_φ is in the Schatten p-class S_p for any $0 < p < \infty$.

□

In fact, this result has been obtained by Du [10] and Schatten class weighted composition operators on the Fock spaces have been studied by many authors (see [1], [16], [17], [22]). However, our different proof leads to the following interest fact: If C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|C_\varphi k_z\|^p dv(z) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|C_\varphi^* k_z\|^p dv(z) < \infty \tag{2.2}$$

are equivalent. While, in the setting of the Bergman space, Xia [23] found a self-mapping φ of the unit disc D, which induces a composition operator satisfying

$$\int_D \|C_\varphi^* k_z\|^p \frac{dv(z)}{1-|z|^2} < \infty \quad \text{but} \quad \int_D \|C_\varphi k_z\|^p \frac{dv(z)}{1-|z|^2} = \infty.$$

Theorem 2.2 and the above discussion reflect that there are lots of different characteristics for composition operators on the Fock spaces. As we know, many examples show that compact composition operators on the Bergman space maybe are not in any of the Schatten classes (see [4], [15], [26]).

Next, we will prove how the conditions in (2.2) are equivalent when C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|C_\varphi k_z\|^p dv(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle C_\varphi^* C_\varphi k_z, k_z\rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} dv(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle T_\mu k_z, k_z\rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} dv(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widetilde{\mu}(z)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv(z) \\
&\le C e^{\frac{p|B|^2}{1-\|A\|}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the fact $C_\varphi^* K_z = K_{\varphi(z)}$ and the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|C_\varphi^* k_z\|^p dv(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\frac{\|K_{\varphi(z)}\|}{\|K_z\|}\right)^p dv(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\frac{p}{4}(|\varphi(z)|^2-|z|^2)} dv(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\frac{p}{4}(|Az+B|^2-|z|^2)} dv(z) \\
&\le e^{\frac{p}{4}|B|^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |e^{\frac{p}{2}\langle z, A^*B\rangle}| e^{-\frac{p}{4}(1-\|A\|^2)|z|^2} dv(z) \\
&\le C e^{\frac{p}{4}|B|^2} e^{\frac{p|A^*B|^2}{1-\|A\|}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

3. NORMAL COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section, we will characterize normal composition operators on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This property for weighted composition operators has been investigated in some articles [18], [20]. But we will try to reveal a perfect nature of normal composition operators on the Fock spaces.

First, we give a complete characterization for normal composition operators. Using this, we find an interesting result, that is, hypernormal composition operators must be normal. Furthermore, we prove that if C_φ is essentially normal then C_φ must be compact or normal. Here, C_φ is called essentially normal if the commutator $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi] = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi - C_\varphi C_\varphi^*$ is compact.

Theorem 3.1. *Let φ be a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then C_φ is normal if and only if $\varphi(z) = Az$ with $A^*A = AA^*$.*

Proof. This result can be shown using the same idea as Theorem 8.2 of [8]. Here, we give a simple argument.

First, since C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, by Theorem A, we have $\varphi(z) = Az + B$. Now, assume that C_φ is normal (then it is hyponormal) on $\mathcal{F}^2$. Then

$$1 = \|C_\varphi 1\|^2 \geq \|C_\varphi^* 1\|^2 = \|C_\varphi^* K_0\|^2 = \|K_{\varphi(0)}\|^2 = e^{|\varphi(0)|^2/2},$$

which implies $\varphi(0) = 0$. So we get $B = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = Az$.

Lemma 2 in [5] shows that the adjoint $C_\varphi^* = C_\tau$ with $\tau(z) = A^* z$. Since C_φ is normal, we have

$$C_{\varphi\circ\tau} = C_\tau C_\varphi = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi = C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = C_\varphi C_\tau = C_{\tau\circ\varphi}.$$

It follows that $AA^* z = \varphi \circ \tau(z) = \tau \circ \varphi(z) = A^* Az$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore, we deduce $AA^* = A^* A$. The other direction is obvious, so we complete the proof. □

Remark. From this result, we may find that Proposition 2.4 in [20] is not true, because the authors deduced that if C_φ is normal on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then φ is univalent.

Next, we also present the following interesting result.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic mapping and C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. If C_φ is hyponormal, then it is normal.*

Proof. Suppose that C_φ is hyponormal on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The preceding argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that $\varphi(z) = Az$ for some $n \times n$ matrix A with $\|A\| \leq 1$.

Let v_1 be an eigenvector of A^* with corresponding eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda_1}$. Define $f \in \mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by $f(z) = \langle z, v_1\rangle$. Because $C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I$ is also hyponormal, we have

$$\|(C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)f\| \geq \|(C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^* f\|. \tag{3.1}$$

However, for each z,

$$((C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)f)(z) = f(\varphi(z)) - \lambda_1 f(z) = \langle (A - \lambda_1 I)z, v_1\rangle = \langle z, 0\rangle = 0;$$

that is, $(C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)f$ is the zero function. Hence, by (3.1), $(C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^* f$ is also the zero function. This means that for each z,

$$0 = ((C_\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^* f)(z) = \langle (A^* - \overline{\lambda_1} I)z, v_1\rangle = \langle z, (A - \lambda_1)v_1\rangle,$$

so that $(A - \lambda_1)v_1 = 0$, where we have used $C_\varphi^* = C_\tau$ with $\tau(z) = A^* z$. Thus v_1 is also an eigenvector for A (with eigenvalue λ_1).

We see that the subspace W_1 of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by v_1 is reducing for A^*. This gives $A^* W_1^\perp \subseteq W_1^\perp$. Now, we apply the argument of the preceding paragraph a second time, starting with an eigenvector $v_2 \in W_1^\perp$ for A^* with corresponding eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda_2}$. Then, we obtain $Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$. If $n = 2$, we are done, A^* and A commute on the basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Otherwise, notice that the subspace W_2 of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by v_1 and v_2 is reducing for A^* and $A^* W_2^\perp \subseteq W_2^\perp$. Thus, we can again apply the argument of the preceding paragraph to obtain a vector $v_3 \in W_2^\perp$, which is both an eigenvector for A^* and for A. We can continue this process until we get a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ on which A^* and A commute. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, we know that C_φ is normal on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. □

Finally, on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we observe that only compact and normal composition operators can be essentially normal.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that φ is a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then C_φ is essentially normal if and only if C_φ is either compact or normal.*

Proof. Compact and normal operators are trivially essentially normal. We only need to prove the other direction.

Assume that C_φ is essentially normal, i.e. the commutator $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]$ is compact. Let $k_p = K_p/\|K_p\|$ be the normalized reproducing kernel at $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that the sequence $\{k_p\}$ tends to zero weakly on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as $|p| \to \infty$, so we have

$$\limsup_{|p|\to\infty} \|[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_p\| = 0.$$

Since C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we get $\varphi(z) = Az + B$, where A and B are described as Theorem A. Moreover, Lemma 2 of [5] gives $C_\varphi^* = M_{K_B} C_\tau$ with $\tau(z) = A^* z$. Thus, for each $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$C_\varphi K_p = (M_{K_B} C_\tau)^* K_p = \overline{K_B(p)} K_{\tau(p)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_p\| \geq |\langle [C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_p, k_p\rangle| &= \frac{|\|C_\varphi K_p\|^2 - \|C_\varphi^* K_p\|^2|}{\|K_p\|^2} \\
&= \frac{|\|\overline{K_B(p)} K_{\tau(p)}\|^2 - \|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2|}{\|K_p\|^2} \\
&= \frac{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2}{\|K_p\|^2} \left| 1 - \frac{|\overline{K_B(p)}|^2 \|K_{\tau(p)}\|^2}{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\|A\| < 1$, then C_φ is compact and the result obviously holds. Thus, it suffices to prove that C_φ is normal in the case of $\|A\| = 1$. This means, we should prove that $B = 0$ and $A^*A = AA^*$ according to Theorem 3.1. Now, assume $\|A\| = 1$, there exists a $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|A\zeta| = |\zeta|$. Choosing $p = t\zeta$ with $t \to \infty$, using Theorem A, we find that

$$\langle Ap, B\rangle = \langle A(t\zeta), B\rangle = t\langle A\zeta, B\rangle = 0$$

and $|Ap|^2 = |t\zeta|^2 = |p|^2$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2}{\|K_p\|^2} &= \exp\left(\frac{|\varphi(p)|^2 - |p|^2}{2}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{|Ap + B|^2 - |p|^2}{2}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{|Ap|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle Ap, B\rangle + |B|^2 - |p|^2}{2}\right) \\
&= \exp(|B|^2/2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\overline{K_B(p)}|^2 \|K_{\tau(p)}\|^2}{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2} &= \exp\left(\frac{|\tau(p)|^2 - |\varphi(p)|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle p, B\rangle}{2}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{|A^*p|^2 - |Ap + B|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle p, B\rangle}{2}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{|A^*p|^2 - |p|^2 - |B|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle p, B\rangle}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_p\| &\geq \frac{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2}{\|K_p\|^2} \left| 1 - \frac{|\overline{K_B(p)}|^2 \|K_{\tau(p)}\|^2}{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|^2} \right| \\
&= \exp(|B|^2/2) \left| 1 - \exp\left(\frac{|A^*p|^2 - |p|^2 - |B|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle p, B\rangle}{2}\right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_{t\zeta}\| = 0$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \exp(|B|^2/2)\left|1 - \exp\left(\frac{t^2|A^*\zeta|^2 - t^2|\zeta|^2 - |B|^2 + 2t\mathrm{Re}\langle\zeta, B\rangle}{2}\right)\right| = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \exp\left(\frac{t^2|A^*\zeta|^2 - t^2|\zeta|^2 - |B|^2 + 2t\mathrm{Re}\langle\zeta, B\rangle}{2}\right) = 1.$$

As a consequence, we must have $B = 0$ and $|A^*\zeta| = |\zeta|$. This yields that $\varphi(z) = Az$ and $C_\varphi^* = C_\tau$.

Now, we have

$$[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi] = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi - C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = C_\tau C_\varphi - C_\varphi C_\tau = C_{\varphi\circ\tau} - C_{\tau\circ\varphi}.$$

Since $\|A\| = 1$ implies that $\|A^*A\| = 1$ and $\|AA^*\| = 1$, by Theorem A, both $C_{\varphi\circ\tau}$ and $C_{\tau\circ\varphi}$ are not compact. On the other hand, Choe et al. [6] have shown that for holomorphic mappings $\psi \neq \phi$, the operator $C_\psi - C_\phi$ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if both C_ψ and C_ϕ are compact. Thus, $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi] = C_{\varphi\circ\tau} - C_{\tau\circ\varphi}$ is compact must give that $\varphi\circ\tau = \tau\circ\varphi$. It follows then that $AA^* = A^*A$ and C_φ is normal by Theorem 3.1. So we obtain the desired result. □

4. Spectra of composition operators

When φ is a holomorphic mapping of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, Guo and Izuchi [12] have described the spectrum of C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$ as follows: Let $\varphi(z) = az + b, |a| \leq 1$ and $a \neq 1$, which inducing a bounded composition operator C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\sigma(C_\varphi) = \overline{\{a^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}}$, where $\sigma(C_\varphi)$ denotes the spectrum of C_φ.

In higher dimensions, we also want to know the spectral structure of C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. In fact, when φ is a unitary map, the spectrum of C_φ is clear.

Theorem B. [24] *Let $\varphi(z) = Uz$ with $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ being eigenvalues of the unitary matrix U. Then the spectrum of C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the closure of the set $\{\lambda_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\lambda_n^{\alpha_n} : (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$.*

In this section, we will completely give the spectrum of C_φ for any bounded composition operator C_φ on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The idea comes from Bayart [2]. First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that A is an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix with $\|A\| \leq 1$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that $UAU^* = M$ with*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = diag(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_s})$ and $A_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-s)\times(n-s)}$ is upper-triangular with all diagonal elements less than 1.

Proof. For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, by Schur Decomposition, there exist a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and an upper triangular matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that

$$UAU^* = M.$$

Assume that $a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{nn}$ are the diagonal elements of M with $|a_{11}| \geq |a_{22}| \geq \ldots \geq |a_{nn}|$. It is clear that $a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{nn}$ are the eigenvalues of M. Moreover, $\|M\| = \|A\| \leq 1$ gives $|a_{11}| \leq 1$. If $\|M\| < 1$, then $|a_{11}| < 1$ and $s = 0$. The result is true.

If $\|M\| = 1$, assume that $|a_{11}| = |a_{22}| = \cdots = |a_{ss}| = 1$ for some $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $M = (a_{jk})$. We will show that $a_{ik} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s, i < k \leq n$. Let $e_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)^{\perp}$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, the unit vectors of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since $\|M^*\| = \|M\| = 1$, we have

$$|M^* e_i|^2 = |a_{ii}|^2 + \sum_{i<k\leq n} |a_{ik}|^2 \leq 1.$$

Combining this with $|a_{ii}| = 1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$, we get $a_{ik} = 0$ for $i < k \leq n$. Therefore, M has the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{ss})$ and A_1 is an upper-triangular matrix with the diagonal elements satisfying $\max\limits_{s<i\leq n}\{|a_{ii}|\} < 1$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let φ be a holomorphic self-mapping of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose that C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ and the spectrum C_φ is the closure of the set*

$$\{\lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{\alpha_n} : (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\},$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

Proof. Since C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, by Theorem A, we have $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ with $\|A\| \leq 1$. Applying Lemma 4.1, there exists unitary U such that $UAU^* = M$ with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_s})$ and $A_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-s)\times(n-s)}$ is upper-triangular. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}$ be the eigenvalues of A_1, then $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}\} < 1$.

Now, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} C_U^* C_\varphi C_U f(z) &= f(U \circ \varphi \circ U^*(z)) \\ &= f(UAU^* z + UB) = f(Mz + B') \\ &= C_\psi f(z). \end{aligned}$$

That is, C_φ is similar to C_ψ with $\psi(z) = Mz + B'$ and $B' = UB$.

Note that the boundedness of C_φ means that C_ψ is also bounded. Using Theorem A, we have $\langle M\zeta, B' \rangle = 0$ for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $|M\zeta| = |\zeta|$. Choosing $\zeta = e_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we obtain that

$$b_1' = \cdots = b_s' = 0,$$

where b_i' is the i^{th} coordinate of B'. Thus,

$$\psi(z) = \psi(w, v) = (Dw, A_1 v + B_1)$$

for $z = (w, v) \in \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s}$.

Because the spectrum is similarly invariant, we will compute the spectrum of C_ψ. Let $v(i) \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-s)\times 1}$ be a non-zero eigenvector of $A_1^\top$ associated to λ_i, i.e. $A_1^\top v(i) = \lambda_i v(i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-s$. Since $\lambda < 1$, we see that $I - A_1$ is invertible. Choose the vector C such that $C = (I - A_1)^{-1} B$, that is, $B_1 - C = -A_1 C$. Set the function

$$F(z) = F(w, v) = w^\beta [(v - C)^\top v(1)]^{\gamma_1} \cdots [(v - C)^\top v(n-s)]^{\gamma_{n-s}},$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-s}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-s}$. Note that $[(v - C)^\top v(i)]^{\gamma_i}$ is a polynomial of v with degree γ_i and the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ contains all polynomials, then the function $F(w, v)$ is in $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Next, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_\psi F(w,v) = F \circ \psi(w,v) = F(Dw, A_1 v + B_1)\\
&= (Dw)^\beta [(A_1 v + B_1 - C)^\top v(1)]^{\gamma_1} \cdots [(A_1 v + B_1 - C)^\top v(n-s)]^{\gamma_{n-s}}\\
&= e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} w^\beta \{[A_1(v - C)]^\top v(1)\}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \{[A_1(v - C)]^\top v(n-s)\}^{\gamma_{n-s}}\\
&= e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} w^\beta [(v - C)^\top A_1^\top v(1)]^{\gamma_1} \cdots [(v - C)^\top A_1^\top v(n-s)]^{\gamma_{n-s}}\\
&= e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}} w^\beta [(v - C)^\top v(1)]^{\gamma_1} \cdots [(v - C)^\top v(n-s)]^{\gamma_{n-s}}\\
&= e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}} F(w,v),
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any multi-index $(\beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the function $F(z, w)$ is an eigenvector of C_ψ associated to the eigenvalue $e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}}$. Hence, the spectrum of C_ψ contains the closure of the set

$$\{e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1 + \cdots \beta_s\theta_s)} \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}} : \alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}^n\},$$

where $e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_s}$, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}$ are the eigenvalues of M.

In fact, we find that the spectrum of C_ψ is exactly the closure of the above set. Next, we will prove the other direction.

Let $\psi_N = \psi \circ \cdots \circ \psi$ (N times). Note that $\psi(w, v) = (Dw, A_1 v + B_1)$ gives

$$\psi_N(w, v) = (D^N w, A_1^N v + B_N),$$

where $B_N = (A_1^{N-1} + \cdots + A_1 + I)B_1 = (I - A_1^N)(I - A_1)^{-1} B_1$. Moreover, $D^N = \mathrm{diag}(e^{iN\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{iN\theta_s})$ and A_1^N is still an upper-triangular matrix with the diagonal elements $\lambda_1^N, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}^N$. Since $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}\} < 1$, it is easy to check that $A_1^N \to O$ (here, O denotes the zero matrix) and $B_N \to (I - A_1)^{-1} B_1$ as $N \to \infty$. Thus, we may choose an integer $N > 0$ large enough such that $\|A_1^N\| < 1$.

Using the spectral mapping theorem, we know that $[\sigma(C_\psi)]^N = \sigma[(C_\psi)^N] = \sigma(C_{\psi_N})$. This leads to that we may still use $\psi(w, v) = (Dw, A_1 v + B_1)$ with $\|A_1\| < 1$ instead of $\psi_N(w, v) = (D^N w, A_1^N v + B_N)$ with $\|A_1^N\| < 1$ to compute the spectrum of $\sigma(C_\psi)$.

Now, we introduce a decomposition for the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n-s}$, let H_γ be the set of all functions F in $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where F may be written as $F_\gamma(w) v^\gamma$. Set $K_m = \bigoplus_{|\gamma| \geq m}^{\perp} H_\gamma$ for any integer $m \geq 0$. Thus, we have a finite orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n) = \bigoplus_{|\gamma| < m}^{\perp} H_\gamma \bigoplus^{\perp} K_m$.

On the other hand, for the set $\mathbb{N}^{n-s}$, we need an order (see [2]): for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-s}$,

$$\alpha < \beta \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} |\alpha| < |\beta|, \\ |\alpha| \leq |\beta|, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_j = \beta_j \text{ for } j < j_0 \text{ and } \alpha_{j_0} < \beta_{j_0}. \end{cases}$$

Since A_1 is upper-triangular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\psi F(w,v) &= F \circ \psi(w,v) = F_\gamma(Dw)(A_1 v + B_1)^\gamma\\
&= F_\gamma(Dw) \prod_{j=1}^{n-s} \left(\lambda_j v_j + \sum_{k>j} a_{jk} v_k + b_j \right)^{\gamma_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the representation matrix of C_ψ will be upper-triangular when using the above order for the decomposition $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n) = \bigoplus_{|\gamma| < m}^{\perp} H_\gamma \bigoplus^{\perp} K_m$.

Set $\rho(w,v)=(Dw,v)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}=(Dw,\widetilde{A_1}v)$ with $\widetilde{A_1}=\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{n-s})$. It is easy to check that the composition operator $C_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ is bounded and has a diagonal matrix in the decomposition of $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Let T_γ and S_γ respectively denote the diagonal blocks of $C_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ and C_ρ corresponding to H_γ. Then

$$T_\gamma=\lambda_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots\lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}}S_\gamma.$$

Note that C_ρ is unitary on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, by Theorem B, the spectrum of C_ρ is the closure of the set

$$\{e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1+\cdots\beta_s\theta_s)}:(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s\}.$$

Using Lemma 7.17 of [8], we get $\sigma(S_\gamma)\subset\sigma(C_\rho)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(C_{\widetilde{\psi}}) &\subset \bigcup_{|\gamma|<m}\sigma(T_\gamma)\bigcup\sigma(C_{\widetilde{\psi}|K_m})\\ &\subset \bigcup_{|\gamma|<m}\lambda_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots\lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}}\sigma(S_\gamma)\bigcup\sigma(C_{\widetilde{\psi}|K_m}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that the spectrum of $C_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ is in the closure of

$$\{e^{i(\beta_1\theta_1+\cdots\beta_s\theta_s)}\lambda_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots\lambda_{n-s}^{\gamma_{n-s}}:\alpha=(\beta,\gamma)\in\mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

On the other hand, since the matrix of C_ψ becomes upper-triangular in the decomposition of $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ we have designed before, the spectra for the diagonal locks of C_ψ corresponding to the subspace H_γ are equal to those of $C_{\widetilde{\psi}}$. We also let T_γ denote the diagonal blocks of C_ψ corresponding to H_γ. Using Lemma 7.17 of [8] again,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(C_\psi) &\subset \bigcup_{|\gamma|<m}\sigma(T_\gamma)\bigcup\sigma(C_{\psi|K_m})\\ &\subset \bigcup_{|\gamma|<m}\sigma(T_\gamma)\bigcup D(0,\|C_{\psi|K_m}\|),\end{aligned}$$

where $D(0,\|C_{\psi|K_m}\|)$ denotes the disk with the radius $\|C_{\psi|K_m}\|$. Therefore, if we show that $\|C_{\psi|K_m}\|$ tends to zero as $m\to\infty$, then C_ψ and $C_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ have the same spectrum.

Let $A_1=T\Sigma V$ be a singular value decomposition of A_1, where T,V are unitary matrices of $\mathbb{C}^{(n-s)\times(n-s)}$ and $\Sigma=\mathrm{diag}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{n-s})$ with $\mu_1\geq\ldots\geq\mu_{n-s}$, the non-negative square roots of the eigenvalues of $A_1^*A_1$. Now $\|A_1\|<1$ yields that $\mu_1=\|A_1\|<1$. Assume $\mu_1<\mu<1$ for a positive constant μ. Let $\phi_1(w,v)=(Dw,Vv)$, $\psi_\Sigma(w,v)=(w,\Sigma v+T^*B_1)$ and $\phi_2(w,v)=(w,Tv)$, then $C_\psi=C_{\phi_1}C_{\psi_\Sigma}C_{\phi_2}$. Obviously, C_{ϕ_1} and C_{ϕ_2} are unitary operators when acting on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Furthermore, C_{ϕ_2} preserves both K_m and $K_m^\perp$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that $\|C_{\psi_\Sigma|K_m}\|\to0$ as $m\to\infty$.

For $F(z)=\sum\limits_{|\gamma|\geq m}F_\gamma(w)v^\gamma\in K_m$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_{\psi_\Sigma}F\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}|F\circ\psi_\Sigma(w,v)|^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|w|^2+|v|^2)}dwdv\\ &\leq \sum_{|\gamma|\geq m}\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}|F_\gamma(w)(\Sigma v+T^*B_1)^\gamma|^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|w|^2+|v|^2)}dwdv\\ &= \sum_{|\gamma|\geq m}\int_{\mathbb{C}^s}|F_\gamma(w)|^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}|w|^2}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-s}}|(\Sigma v+T^*B)^\gamma|^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v|^2}dv\end{aligned}$$

Let $T^*B = (b_1, \ldots, b_{n-s})$, then $\Sigma v + T^*B = (\mu_1 v_1 + b_1, \ldots, \mu_{n-s} v_{n-s} + b_{n-s})$. Since $\mu_1 < \mu < 1$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-s$, it is easy to see $|\mu_i v_i + b_i| \le \mu |v_i|$ when $|v_i| \ge M$ for large enough $M > 0$. It follows that $|(\Sigma v + T^*B)^\gamma| \le \mu^m |v^\gamma|$ off a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n-s}$. Therefore, using the orthogonality of $F_\gamma(w)v^\gamma$ and $F_{\gamma'}(w)v^{\gamma'}$ for $\gamma \neq \gamma'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_{\psi_\Sigma} F\|^2 &\le C\mu^{2m} \sum_{|\gamma| \ge m} \int_{\mathbb{C}^s} |F_\gamma(w)|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|w|^2} dw \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-s}} |v^\gamma|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v|^2} dv \\
&= C\mu^{2m} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left| \sum_{|\gamma| \ge m} F_\gamma(w) v^\gamma \right|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|w|^2 + |v|^2)} dw dv \\
&= C\mu^{2m} \|F\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a sufficiently large constant. Now $\mu < 1$ gives

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|C_{\psi_\Sigma | K_m}\| \le \lim_{m \to \infty} C\mu^{2m} = 0.$$

The desired result holds. □

5. Cyclicity of composition operators

A bounded linear operator T on a linear metric space $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be cyclic if there exists a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\overline{\text{span}\{T^m x : m = 0, 1, \ldots\}} = \mathcal{H}.$$

If there exists a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the orbit

$$\{T^m x : m = 0, 1, \ldots\}$$

is dense, then T is said to be hypercyclic. If there exists a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the projective orbit

$$\{\lambda T^m x : m = 0, 1, \ldots \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is dense, then T is said to be supercyclic. See [3] for more information.

In this section, we study the dynamics of composition operators on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. On the complex plane, the following result has been proved by Guo and Izuchi [12].

Proposition 5.1. *(a) If $\varphi(z) = az$ with $|a| = 1$, then C_φ is cyclic on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $a^n \neq a$ for every $n > 1$.*
(b) If $\varphi(z) = az + b$ with $|a| < 1$ and $a \neq 0$, then C_φ is cyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. (a) This result is Proposition 2.3(i) of [12].

(b) This is an immediate result of Theorem 4.2 in [12]. Here, we present a different proof. Let $K_z(w) = \exp(\langle w, z \rangle / 2)$ be the reproducing kernels for $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$. We will prove that K_z is a cyclic vector of C_φ for any $z \neq 0$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\varphi^m K_z(w) &= K_z(\varphi_m(w)) = K_z\left(a^m w + \frac{1 - a^m}{1 - a} b\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\left\langle a^m w + \frac{1 - a^m}{1 - a} b, z \right\rangle / 2\right) \\
&= \exp(\langle w, \overline{a^m} z \rangle / 2) \exp\left(\left\langle \frac{1 - a^m}{1 - a} b, z \right\rangle / 2\right) \\
&= c_m K_{\overline{a}^m z}(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_m = \exp\left(\left\langle \frac{1-a^m}{1-a}b, z \right\rangle /2\right)$ is a constant. If f is orthogonal to the set

$$\{C_\varphi^m K_z : m = 0, 1, \ldots\},$$

then

$$0 = \langle f, C_\varphi^m K_z\rangle = \langle f, c_m K_{\overline{a}^m z}\rangle = \overline{c_m} f(\overline{a}^m z).$$

Since $|a| < 1$, for any fixed $z \neq 0$, we have $\overline{a}^m z \to 0$ and

$$c_m = \exp\left(\left\langle \frac{1-a^m}{1-a}b, z \right\rangle /2\right) \to \exp\left(\left\langle \frac{b}{1-a}, z \right\rangle /2\right)$$

as $m \to \infty$. Thus, f vanishes on a sequence of points on $\mathbb{C}$ with limit 0, which implies that $f \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, C_φ is cyclic with the cyclic vector K_z for any $z \neq 0$. □

It is easy to see that φ must fix a point of $\mathbb{C}$ when C_φ is bounded on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Applying the same technique as Theorem 5.2 in [11], we obtain that C_φ is not supercyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the cyclicity of composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C})$ is very simple.

In order to describe the dynamics of composition operators on the Fock space$\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we first give the following characterization for those symbols which inducing bounded composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ induces a bounded composition operator on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then φ fixes a point in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. It suffices to show B belongs to the orthogonal complement of $\ker(I - A^*)$. Suppose that v is a unit vector in $\ker(I - A^*)$. Then $A^*v = v$ and since $\|A\| \leq 1$, we get $Av = v$ as well:

$$1 \geq |Av| = |Av||v| \geq |\langle Av, v\rangle| = |\langle AA^*v, v\rangle| = |\langle A^*v, A^*v\rangle| = |\langle v, v\rangle| = 1;$$

thus, $|Av| = |v| = |\langle Av, v\rangle|$ and we conclude that $Av = \lambda v$ for some constant λ. Finally, $\lambda = \langle \lambda v, v\rangle = \langle Av, v\rangle = \langle v, A^*v\rangle = \langle v, v\rangle = 1$. In particular, we have $|Av| = |v|$ and hence,

$$\langle B, v\rangle = \langle B, Av\rangle = 0$$

since C_φ is bounded. □

First, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [14], we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for unitary composition operators to be cyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Here, we omit its proof.

Theorem 5.3. *If $\varphi(z) = Uz$ and U is unitary with the eigenvalues $e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}$, then C_φ is cyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n, \pi$ are rationally linearly independent.*

For all bounded composition operators on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the following result shows that their are not supercyclic.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic mapping. If C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then C_φ is not supercyclic.*

Proof. We will use the similar idea as Theorem 5.2 in [11] to obtain our result.

As shown in Lemma 5.2, $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ must have a fixed point p. Suppose that f is a supercyclic vector for C_φ. It is clear that we must have $f(p) \neq 0$. Assume that $f(p) = 1$. If the function $g \in \mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is in the projective orbit of f under C_φ, then there exists a

sequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ such that $\{\lambda_{n_k} C_{\varphi_{n_k}} f\}$ tends to g as $k \to \infty$. Since norm convergence implies pointwise convergence, we get

$$g(p) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} C_{\varphi_{n_k}} f(p) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} f(\varphi_{n_k}(p)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} f(p) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k}.$$

If $\varphi(z) = Uz$. Let $e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}$ be the eigenvalues of U, then $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n, \pi$ are rationally linearly independent. Otherwise, using Theorem 5.3, C_φ is not cyclic, and then not supercyclic on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Therefore, by extracting a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\{\varphi_{n_k}(z)\} = \{U^{n_k} z\}$ converges to a map $\phi(z) = Vz$ with unitary V. Now, we choose a univalent function g with $g(p) \neq 0$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} C_{\varphi_{n_k}} f(z) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} f(\varphi_{n_k}(z)) \\ &= g(p) \lim_{k\to\infty} f(\varphi_{n_k}(z)) = g(p) f(Vz). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $f(z) = g \circ V^{-1}(z)/g(p)$ is univalent. It follows that all the scalar multiplies of the C_φ orbit of f are univalent functions. This means that C_φ can not be supercyclic.

For more general $\varphi(z) = Az + B$, it is enough to prove that C_ψ is not supercyclic, where $\psi(w, v) = (Dw, A_1 v + B_1)$ is described in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Note that $\psi_m(w, v) = (D^m w, A_1^m v + (A_1^{m-1} + \cdots + I)B_1)$. Let E be any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n-s}$ and write $0 \times E = \{(0, v) \in \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s}, v \in E\}$. Since $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-s}\} < 1$, as pointed in the proof of Theorem 4.2, the sequence of iterates of ψ tends to the point $(0, (I - A_1)^{-1} B_1)$ uniformly on the set $0 \times E$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, it is clear that $(0, (I - A_1)^{-1} B_1)$ is exactly the fixed point p of ψ. It follows that

$$g(0, v) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} C_{\psi_{n_k}} f(0, v) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{n_k} f(\psi_{n_k}(0, v)) = g(p) f(p) = g(p)$$

for any $(0, v) \in 0 \times E$. Consequently, only functions which are independent with the last $n - s$ coordinates can be in the closure of the projective C_ψ orbit of f. Therefore, C_ψ is not supercyclic and the proof is complete. □

Finally, it is clear that C_φ is not cyclic on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, if $\varphi(z) = Az + B$ and the matrix A is not invertible. Now, we almost have known the dynamics of bounded composition operators on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, only the following problem still open.

Problem: For the mapping $\varphi(z) = Az + B$, if A is invertible with $\|A\| \leq 1$ and C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, is it cyclic?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to think professor Zhihua Chen for helping prove Lemma 4.1. The authors also think other mathematicians for pointing out Proposition 3.2 and providing many good suggestions to improve this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Al-Rawashdeh, *Schatten class weighted composition operators on generalized Fock spaces* $\mathcal{F}^2_\phi(\mathbb{C}^n)$, Int. J. Math. Anal., 28(2015), 1379-1384.

[2] F. Bayart, *Parabolic composition operators on the ball*, Adv. Math., 223(2010), 1666-1705.

[3] P. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *Cyclic phenomena for composition operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 125(1997), 596.

[4] T. Carroll and C. Cowen, *Compact composition operators not in the Schatten classes*, J. Operator Theory 26(1991), 109C120.

[5] B. Carswell, B. MacCluer and A. Schuster, *Composition operators on the Fock space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 69(2003), 871-887.

[6] H. Cho, B. Choe and H. Koo, *Linear combinations of composition operators on the Fock-Sobolev space*, Potential Analysis 41(2014), 1223-1246.
[7] B. Choe, K. Izuchi and H. Koo, *Linear sums of composition operators on the Fock space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 369(2010), 112-119.
[8] C. Cowen and B. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1995.
[9] M. Dostanić and K. Zhu, *Integral operators induced by the Fock kernel*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 60(2008), 217-236.
[10] D. Du, *Schatten class weighted composition operators on the Fock space* $F^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^N)$, Int. J. Math. Anal., 5(2011), 625-630.
[11] E. Gallardo-Gutiérrez and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *The role of the spectrum in the cyclic behavior of composition operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 167(2004), 791.
[12] K. Guo and K. Izuchi, *Composition operators on Fock type space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 74(2008), 807-828.
[13] J. Isralowitza, J. Virtanenb and L. Wolfa, *Schatten class Toeplitz operators on generalized Fock spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 421(2015), 329-337.
[14] L. Jiang and C. Ouyang, *Cyclic behavior of linear fractional composition operators in the unit ball of* $\mathbb{C}^N$, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341(2008), 601-612.
[15] B. Lotto, *A compact composition operator that is not Hilbert-Schmidt, Studies on Composition Operators*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 213, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1998, pp. 93C97.
[16] T. Mengestie, *Schatten class weighted composition operators on weighted Fock spaces*, Arch. Math., 101(2013), 349-360.
[17] T. Mengestie, *On trace ideal weighted composition operators on weighted Fock spaces*, Arch. Math., 105(2015), 453-459.
[18] S. Panayappan, *Some classes of composition operators on the Fock space*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., 14(2012), 649-656.
[19] S. Stević, *Weighted composition operators between Fock-type spaces in* $\mathbb{C}^N$, Applied Math. Computation, 215(2009), 2750C2760.
[20] Y. Su and Z. Jiang, *Normal or hyponormal weighted composition operators on the Fock-type space*, Global J. Pure and Appl. Math., 7(2011), 363-369.
[21] S. Ueki, *Weighted composition operator on the Fock space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(2007), 1405-1410.
[22] S. Ueki, *Hilbert-Schmidt weighted composition operator on the Fock space*, Int. J. Math. Anal., 1(2007), 769-774.
[23] J. Xia, *On a proposed characterization of Schatten-class composition operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(2003), 2505-2514.
[24] L. Zhao, *Unitary weighted composition operators on the Fock space of* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 8(2014), 581-590.
[25] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd edn. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138. American Mathematical Society; 2007.
[26] Y. Zhu, *Geometric properties of composition operators belonging to Schatten classes*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 26(2001), 239-248.

LIANGYING JIANG, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI FINANCE UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 201209, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: liangying1231@163.com, jiangly@sfu.edu.cn

GABRIEL T. PRAJITURA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY BROCKPORT, BROCKPORT, NY 14420, USA
E-mail address: gprajitu@brockport.edu

RUHAN ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY BROCKPORT, BROCKPORT, NY 14420, USA
E-mail address: rzhao@brockport.edu